# A Study of Blood Glucose Response Following Temperate and Tropical Fruit Ingestion in Healthy Adults

Barakatun Nisak Mohd Yusof, Ruzita Abd. Talib & Norimah A. Karim

Department of Nutrition & Dietetics, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Jalan Raja Muda Abd. Aziz, Kuala Lumpur 50300.

### ABSTRACT

Fruits are well known to have high nutritional values. However, the response in blood glucose level varies with different fruits. To date, data has not been compiled to rank local fruits according to their blood glucose response. Therefore, this randomised experimental study was carried out to determine the blood glucose response after consuming ten types of tropical fruits (mango, rambutan, longan, sapodilla, jackfruit, watermelon, papaya and banana of three varieties, brangan, rastali and mas) and four types of temperate fruits (red apple, orange, grape and green pear). A total of 72 healthy subjects randomly divided into groups of 12 to 20 subjects (mean age: 21.5+0.6 years, mean BMI: 21.13+1.49 kgm<sup>-2</sup>) were requested to consume test fruits or reference food (glucose) after an overnight fasting on separate occasions. Each test fruit and the glucose contained 50g of carbohydrates. Finger-prick blood samples were obtained at 0 (fasting), 15, 30 60, 90 and 120 min after consuming each fruit. The blood glucose response was obtained by calculating area under the curve (AUC). The AUC ranged between 57.59+10 mmol.min/L and 313.2 mmol.min/L, with glucose showing the highest AUC (p<0.05) compared to all fruits tested. Banana gives the highest blood glucose response while green pear showed the lowest. The fruits ranked in descending order based on the AUC values were longan, followed by rambutan, grapes, watermelon, orange, papaya, jackfruit, sapodilla, mango and red apple. Tropical fruits had significantly higher AUC than temperate fruits (p<0.05). Overall, bananas demonstrated the largest rise in postprandial blood glucose response (62%) when compared to glucose while green pear showed the lowest response (18%). This preliminary data could be used as a recommendation to diabetic patients for optimum blood glucose control.

## INTRODUCTION

Different carbohydrate-foods produce different blood glucose responses (Otto and Niklas, 1980). Considerable interest has been raised in the effects of various carbohydrate-containing foods on postprandial blood glucose response. Results obtained have been a basis for dietary recommendations for diabetic patients (Crapo, Reaven & Olesky, 1976; Bantle *et al.*, 1983). These findings led to a method of classification of carbohydrate-containing food based on their acute blood

Correspondence author: Barakatun Nisak Mohd Yusof, email: bnisakmy@yahoo.com

glucose response known as glycaemic index (Jenkins *et al.*, 1981). Foods that contain carbohydrate are digested and absorbed at a slower rate, resulting in lower blood glucose. Hence, these foods may have metabolic benefits in relation to diabetic control (Ludwig, 2000). However, little attention has been given to fruits (Guevarra & Panlasigui, 2000).

Fruits consist mainly of carbohydrates and are known to have high nutritional values specifically in terms of micronutrients (Fatema *et al.*, 2003). Nevertheless, the compositions vary greatly (Hoover-Plow, Savesky & Dailey, 1987). Studies have shown that high intake of fruits and vegetables may have a protective effect against cardiovascular disease (CVD) (Liu *et al.*, 2000) and decrease the risk of developing diabetes (Ford & Mokdad, 2001).

The type and amount of fruits to be included in daily diets of diabetics has always been a concern (Guevarra & Panlasigui, 2000). Fatema *et al.* (2003) has reported that it is important to know the composition of fruits and their biological responses in order to rationalise the advice of including fruits in the diet of diabetic patients. In addition, Brand-Miller, Olagiuri & Foster-Powell (1997) have documented that tropical fruits may produce higher responses of postprandial blood glucose than temperate fruits.

Therefore, the objectives of this study are to determine the blood glucose response for some tropical and temperate fruits in healthy adults compared to glucose itself. This novel data could be used by dietitians and nutritionists in recommending the most suitable fruits for patients, especially individuals with diabetes.

## Methods

## Subjects

Four groups (A, B, C, D) consisting of 12 to 20 healthy volunteers were derived

from a total of 72 subjects to participate in this study (Table 1). There were 32 males and 40 females with mean age and body mass index (BMI) of  $21.5\pm0.6$  years and  $21.1\pm1.5$  kgm<sup>-2</sup> respectively. Subjects were non-smokers and not on any medication. Subjects were requested to maintain their usual daily food intake and activity throughout the study period. The purpose and protocol of the study were explained to the subjects and written consent was obtained.

## Test Fruits

Subjects were grouped accordingly. 14 fruits consisting of 10 tropical fruits [mango (Mangifera Indica), rambutan (Nephelium lappaceum), longan (Nephelium malaiense), sapodilla (Manilkara achras), (Artocarpus heterophullus), jackfruits papaya (Carica papaya), watermelon (Citrullus vulgaris) and three varieties of banana (Musa paradisiacal) i.e. Brangan, Rastali and Mas] and four temperate fruits [red apple (Pyrus malus), orange (Citrus reticula), grape (Vitis vinifera) and green pear (Pyrus communis)] were tested in this study. Glucose (Glucolin™) dissolved in 500 ml of water was given as a reference food to compare with the test fruits. Both test fruits and glucose consisted of 50g carbohydrates. The amount of carbohydrate and the crude fibre content for each fruit calculated was using the Food Composition Table (Tee et al., 1997). Table 2 shows the amount of the tested fruits which had to be consumed to provide 50g carbohydrates. All subjects managed to consume the test fruits given despite the more than average portion size.

## **Experimental procedures**

Subjects were requested to consume test fruits or reference food (glucose) on separate occasions in the morning (0800) after 10-12 hours overnight fast. Fasting blood sample was taken by finger-pricking at time 0 and the subjects were requested to consume the test fruits with 250 ml plain water or glucose in 500ml water within 15 minutes. Further blood samples were taken at 15, 30, 60, 90 and 120 minutes after initial intake. The blood samples were obtained and analysed using glucose oxidase method (Accutrend<sup>TM</sup> - Roche Diagnostics GmbH, D-68298 Mannheim, Germany).

#### Data Analysis

The blood glucose values for every point of time over two hours were used to calculate the area under the curve (AUC) for each subject and each test individually by encoding in Lotus software (123; CA USA). The AUC calculation used was as described by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO, 1998). The blood glucose responses of test fruits were then calculated as follows:

Blood Glucose Response =  $\frac{AUC \text{ of test fruits}}{AUC \text{ of glucose}} \times 100$ 

Results were expressed as mean <u>+</u> SEM. Blood glucose value at each time, AUC and blood glucose response were subjected to repeated measure ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple range test.

#### RESULTS

There was no significant difference in terms of BMI and age between each subject in the group (Table 1). Table 3 shows the mean blood glucose at different time points, the AUC values and blood glucose response observed after consuming the fruits. There was a significant increase in fasting blood glucose after ingestion of all fruits and glucose (p<0.01). The three temperate fruits (grapes, orange and red apple) and the two tropical fruits (papaya and watermelon) reached peak blood glucose values at 15 min while the rest of the fruits including glucose peaked at 30 min.

The AUC ranged between 57.59mmol. min/L and 313.2mmol.min/L, and was significantly highest for glucose (p<0.05) compared to all fruits tested. Among the test fruits, the mean AUC was highest for banana while the lowest was green pear. The AUC value for banana was significantly higher than green pear, red apple, mango and sapodilla (p<0.05) while not significantly different compared to the rest of the fruits tested (Figure 1).

When comparing different varieties of banana, Brangan has the highest AUC, followed by *Mas* and *Rastali* (Figure 2). However, the differences in AUC and blood glucose response was insignificant between the three types of bananas (p>0.05). Nevertheless, banana showed the largest rise of blood glucose response, which was 62% when compared to glucose (100%), while green pear showed the lowest increment of only 18%. The blood glucose response of other fruits tested was longan, 60% followed by rambutan and grapes; (59%), watermelon (54%), orange (47%), papaya (45%), jackfruit (41%), sapodilla and mango (35%) and red apple (27%) respectively (Figure 3).

Tropical fruits had significantly higher AUC values and blood glucose responses than temperate fruits (p<0.05) and both were significantly lower than glucose (p<0.05) (Figure 4). The crude fibre content of the test fruits was not correlated with the AUC value (r=-0.126, p>0.05) or blood glucose response (r=-0.035, p>0.05) respectively.

#### DISCUSSION

This study showed that the blood glucose response produced after consuming the test fruits was significantly lower when compared with glucose (p<0.05). Several researchers have reported similar results and their results were attributed to

Group	Test Fruits	п	Age (years)	BMI (kgm <sup>-2</sup> )
А	Mango Rambutan	20	21.2 + 0.5	20.8 + 1.4
В	Longan Sapodilla			
	Jackfruits Green pear	20	21.8 <u>+</u> 0.8	20.5 <u>+</u> 1.5
С	Banana - Brangan			
D	- Rastali - Mas	20	21.5 <u>+</u> 1.2	21.3 <u>+</u> 1.2
D	Watermelon Papaya	10	21.4 . 1 5	21.0 + 0.0
	Red apple Orange Grape	12	21.4 <u>+</u> 1.5	21.0 <u>+</u> 0.8
7	Fotal / Mean <u>+</u> SD (Range)	72	21.5 <u>+</u> 1.0 (21- 23)	20.9 <u>+</u> 1.2 (19- 22)

Table 1. Characteristics of human subjects according to groups given each test fruit

p> 0.05 no significant difference of age and BMI between the groups

the type of carbohydrate content of the fruits which mainly consisted of fructose (Wolever et al., 1993; Lunetta et al., 1995; Guevarra & Panlasigui, 2000). Fructose is slowly absorbed and is less likely to increase blood glucose levels when compared to other monosaccharides such as glucose and lactose (Uusitupa, 1994). Fructose rapidly cleared is and metabolised by the liver in both normal and type 2 diabetic patients (Wolever & Brand-Miller, 1995). Moreover, the glycaemic index (GI) of fructose is significantly lower than that of glucose and is found to elicit lower blood glucose response (Lee & Wolever, 1998).

Our results also demonstrated that the tested fruits gave varying effects on blood glucose responses. Wolever and Brand-Miller (1995) noted that the glycaemic index of fresh fruits vary over a threefold range from 22 for cherries to 72 for water-melon. There are several factors that may

affect the digestion and absorption of fruits and thus the blood glucose response. Factors such as the degree of ripeness, the type of sugars present, the presence of fibre and antinutrients and the physical state of the fruits have contributed to the response of glucose level (Guevarra & Panlasigui, 2000).

In this study, banana has the largest rise of blood glucose response while green pear showed the lowest. A possible reason for this is due to the carbohydrate content of banana which is approximately twice the amount of carbohydrate in apple, pear or orange (Hermansen *et al.*, 1992). The degree of ripeness of banana may also influence the postprandial blood glucose response (Lintas *et al.*, 1995). Over-ripe banana has been found to be digested by *a*amylase at the highest rate, converting carbohydrate to free sugar (Englyst & Cumming, 1986). However, no difference was found in blood glucose response for

**Table 2.** Amount of test fruits to be consumed

Test fruits	Amount (g) containing 50 g CHO	Crude fibre (g) per 50 g CHO	Origin
Mango (Mangifera Indica)	354 (2 whole fruits)	1.4	Local
Rambutan (Nephelium lappaceum)	361 (12 whole fruits)	1.3	Local
Longan (Nephelium malaiense)	313 (24 whole fruits)	1.2	Thailand
Sapodilla ( <i>Manilkara achras</i> )	271 (5 whole fruits without skin/seeds)	2.5	Local
Jackfruits (Artocarpus heterophu	llus) 686 (18 fruits without seeds)	38.4	Local
Watermelon (Citrullus vulgaris)	835 (6 slices without skin; LxWxT, 23.3x10x3 cm)	1.9	Local
Papaya (Carica papaya)	703 (4½ slices; LxWxT, 28x3x2.8 cm)	3.5	Local
Banana (Musa paradisiacal) - Brangan - Rastali - Mas	207 (3½ fruits) 230 (7 fruits) 219 (5 fruits)	1.0 2.3 3.7	Local
Red apple (Pyrus malus)	382 (3½ whole fruits)	1.0	<i>Fuji</i> (Shandong, Ltd China)
Orange (Citrus reticula)	552 (3½ whole fruits)	3.2	Navel (Australian 3107)
Grape (Vitis vinifera)	323 (27 whole fruits)	3.1	Top Brand (California)
Green pear (Pyrus communis)	310 (1½ whole fruits)	5.6	China

Test fruits	0 (min)	15 (min)	30 (min)	60 (min)	90 (min)	120 (min)	AUC (mmol.min/L)	BGR (%)		
			Tro	opical Fruits	;					
Mango	3.7 <u>+</u> 0.2	4.6 <u>+</u> 0.3*	5.8 <u>+</u> 0.4	4.9 <u>+</u> 0.3	4.2 <u>+</u> 0.3	3.7 <u>+</u> 0.2	110.40 <u>+</u> 14**	35		
Rambutan	4.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	5.8 <u>+</u> 0.2*	7.6 <u>+</u> 0.4	6.0 <u>+</u> 0.4	5.0 <u>+</u> 0.3	4.3 <u>+</u> 0.2	184.56 <u>+</u> 18**	59		
Longan	3.8 <u>+</u> 0.2	5.6 <u>+</u> 0.2*	7.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	5.5 <u>+</u> 0.4	4.5 <u>+</u> 0.2	3.9 <u>+</u> 0.2	189.66 <u>+</u> 24**	60		
Sapodilla	3.8+0.1	4.6+0.2*	5.8+0.2	5.2+0.3	3.6+0.2	3.8+0.2	110.92 <u>+</u> 15**	35		
Jackfruits	3.6+0.1	4.6+0.2*	5.7+0.1	5.3+0.3	3.8+0.2	3.7+0.2	127.94 <u>+</u> 15**	41		
Papaya	4.9+0.2	7.9+0.3*	7.7+0.3	5.7+0.2	5.0+0.2	4.8+0.2	140.32 <u>+</u> 24**	45		
Watermelon	5.0+0.2	8.4+0.3*	7.8+0.2	6.1+0.2	5.5+0.2	5.0+0.1	170.46 <u>+</u> 23**	54		
Various type of Bananas										
- Brangan	4.6+0.1	7.6+0.1*	8.1+0.1	6.6+0.1	5.7+0.1	4.9+0.1	214.45 <u>+</u> 12**	68		
- Rastali	4.9+0.1	6.5+0.1*	8.5+0.2	6.6+0.1	5.3+0.2	5.1+0.2	183.34 <u>+</u> 15**	59		
- Mas	4.8 + 0.1	6.8+0.3*	7.5+0.4	6.6+0.4	6.0+0.3	5.1+0.2	189.45 <u>+</u> 32**	60		
Mean	4.8 + 0.1	7.1+0.2	8.1+0.2	6.6+0.2	5.6+0.2	5.0+0.2	195.42 <u>+</u> 18**	62		
			Terr	perate Frui	ts					
Grapes	5.2 <u>+</u> 0.6	9.4 <u>+</u> 1.1*	8.8 <u>+</u> 1.5	6.3 <u>+</u> 1.0	5.0 <u>+</u> 0.6	4.7 <u>+</u> 0.4	183.60 <u>+</u> 21**	59		
Orange	4.7 <u>+</u> 0.4	8.4 <u>+</u> 1.7*	7.6 <u>+</u> 1.5	5.5 <u>+</u> 1.0	4.8 <u>+</u> 0.7	4.5 <u>+</u> 0.5	148.17 <u>+</u> 21**	47		
Red apple	4.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	6.8 <u>+</u> 0.8*	6.4 <u>+</u> 0.6	4.8 <u>+</u> 0.5	4.7 <u>+</u> 0.3	4.5 <u>+</u> 0.2	84.27 <u>+</u> 8**	27		
Green pear	3.3+0.2	3.7+0.2*	4.5+0.2	3.6+0.1	3.2+0.1	3.0+0.1	57.59 <u>+</u> 10**	18		
			Ref	ference Food	1					
Glucose (Glucolin®	4.4 <u>+</u> 0.1	6.9 <u>+</u> 0.1*	8.9 <u>+</u> 0.1	8.0 <u>+</u> 0.1	6.1 <u>+</u> 0.1	5.6 <u>+</u> 0.1	313.20 <u>+</u> 10	100		

**Table 3.** The mean blood glucose, AUC and blood glucose response (%) of fruits under study

\* p<0.01

\*\*p<0.05 significantly less than glucose

Values in bold determined the peaked blood glucose response. BGR= blood glucose response

different types of bananas, indicating that all varieties of bananas have the same impact on blood glucose response.

Apple and green pear have been reported to contain more fructose and less glucose, thus demonstrating the lowest blood glucose response (Lunetta *et al.*, 1995). In this study, sapodilla and mango showed low glucose response and these results are in agreement with those shown by Guevarra & Panlasigui (2000). The presence of antinutrients such as phytic acid, tannins, lectins and saponin have

been known to delay the rate of digestion and absorption (Brand-Miller *et al.*, 1997). Sapodilla contains saponin that has the properties of foaming in water while mango contains tannin and phytic acids that are found to inhibit intestinal enzymes lowering the rate of absorption thus, producing low glucose response (Guevarra & Panlasigui, 2000).

In addition, Bolton, Heaton & Burroughs (1981) and Oettle, Emmett & Heaton (1987) hypothesised that the rate of the sugar entering the bloodstream **Figure 1.** Mean area under the curve (AUC) for blood glucose response over 2 hours for test fruits and glucose



<sup>a</sup> p<0.05; significantly different from glucose

<sup>b</sup> p<0.05; significantly different from banana

\* Mean AUC of three types of Banana

Figure 2. Comparison of AUC and blood glucose response between different varieties of bananas



**Figure 3.** Mean blood glucose response (BGR) of test fruits when compared with glucose (reference food)



**Figure 4.** Mean of blood glucose area under the curve (AUC) between tropical, temperate & glucose



<sup>a</sup> p<0.05; significantly different from from glucose <sup>b</sup> p<0.05; significantly different from tropical fruits varies with the physical state of the fruit. Grapes, *rambutan*, *longan*, papaya and watermelon are easily chewed and thus elicit a high glucose response. Sapodilla, mango, red apple and green pear, however, require some effort in chewing due to their grainy texture. This might also contribute to its low glucose response (Guevarra & Panlasigui, 2000).

The tropical fruits demonstrated the largest rise in mean AUC value when compared to temperate fruits (Brand-Miller *et al.*, 1997). Differences among the fruits may arise because of variations, particularly in monosaccharide composition and the nature of fibre (Wolever & Brand-Miller, 1995).

No significant relationship was seen between the crude fibre content of the AUC values and blood glucose response in this study despite the fact that fibre has been repeatedly shown to decrease the postprandial blood glucose (Stevens et al., 2002). However, our findings were in agreement with the study by Jenkins et al., (1981) and Lunetta et al., (1995). This was probably due to the types of fibre that differ within fruits. The total dietary fibres in fruits consist of soluble and insoluble fibre. The insoluble fibres such as cellulose and hemi-cellulose are rigid materials, and give the structure to plants (Anderson & Akanji, 1991). Soluble fibre like pectin, present abundantly in fruits, may form a viscous solution which has the capacity to bind to carbohydrate. This could limit the accessibility to a-amylase and reduce the blood glucose response (Goni, Valdivieso & Garcia-Alonso, 2000). Soluble fibre has been shown to be active on plasma glucose metabolism and consequently, demonstrate the lowering effect of blood glucose response (Riccardi & Rivellese, 1991).

#### CONCLUSION

Our results showed that there is a difference in blood glucose response among tropical and temperate fruits tested. Based on these results, the most suitable temperate fruits to be recommended for diabetic patients without significantly increasing the blood glucose response are green pear and red apple, while the most suitable tropical fruits are sapodilla and mango. Banana can only be eaten in moderate amounts provided that fruits are within carbohydrate the allowance. Doseresponse study with various amounts of fruits for example 15 g of carbohydrate should be carried out to determine the most appropriate portion size of the fruits.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all the young-committed researchers; Khairil Shazmin Kamarudin, Noor ul-Aziha Muhammad, Nubairi Adha Yusof and Tan Poi Szie from the Department of Nutrition & Dietetics, UKM, KL who coordinated the research project and provided the original data to allow calculation the area under the curve (AUC) for each blood glucose value.

## REFERENCES

- Anderson JW & Akanji AO (1991). Dietary fiber- an overview. *Diabetes Care* 14:1126-1131.
- Bantle JP, Laine DC, Castle GW, Thomas JW, Hoogwerf BJ & Goetz FC (1983). Postprandial glucose and insulin responses to meals containing different carbohydrates in normal and diabetic subjects. *N Engl J Med* 309:7-12.
- Bolton RP, Heaton KW & Burroughs LF (1981). The role of dietary fiber in satiety, glucose, and insulin: Studies with fruit and fruit juice. *Am J Clin Nutr* 34:211-217.
- Brand-Miller J, Olagiuri MJV & Foster-Powell K (1997). The GI factor.

McPhersons Printing Group, Sydney.

- Crapo PA, Reaven GM & Olesky JM (1976). Plasma glucose and insulin responses to orally administered simple and complex carbohydrate. *Diabetes* 25:741-747.
- Englyst HN & Cummings JH (1986). Digestion of the carbohydrate of banana (*Musa paradisiaca sapientum*) in the human small intestine. *Am J Clin Nutr* 44:42-50.
- FAO/WHO Expert Consultation (1998). Carbohydrate in human nutrition. Report of joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation paper 66. Rome: FAO Food and Nutrition.
- Fatema K, Ali L, Rahman MH, Parvin S & Hassan Z (2003). Serum glucose and insulin response to mango and papaya in type 2 diabetic subjects. *Nutr Research* 23:9-14.
- Ford ES & Mokdad AH (2001). Fruit and vegetable consumption and diabetes mellitus incidence among U.S. Adults. *Preventive Medicine* 32:33–39.
- Goni I, Valdivieso L & Garcia-Alonso A (2000). Nori seaweed consumption modifies glycemic response in healthy volunteers. *Nutr Research* 20:1367-1375.
- Guevarra MTB & Panlasigui LN (2000). Blood glucose responses of diabetes mellitus type II patients to some local fruits. *Asian Pacific J Clin Nutr* 9:303-308.
- Hermansen K, Rasmussen O, Gregersen S & Larsen S (1992). Influence of ripeness of banana on the blood glucose and insulin response in type 2 diabetic subjects. *Diabet Med* 9:730-743.

- Hoover-Plow J, Savesky J & Dailey G (1987). The glycemic response to meals with six different fruits in insulindependent diabetics using a home blood-glucose monitoring system. *Am* J Clin Nutr 45:92-97.
- Jenkins DJA, Wolever TMS, Taylor, HR, Barker H, Fielden H, Baldwin MJ, Bowling AC, Newman HC, Jenkins AL & Goff VD (1981). Glycemic index of foods: A physiological basis for carbohydrate exchange. Am J Clin Nutr 34:362-366.
- Lee BM & Wolever TMS (1998). Effect of glucose, sucrose and fructose on plasma glucose and insulin responses in normal humans: comparison with white bread. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 52:924-928.
- Lintas C, Cappelloni M, Adorisio S, Clementi A & del-Toma E (1995). Effect of ripening on resistant starch and total sugars in *musa paradisiaca sapientum*: glycemic and insulinemic response in normal subjecs and NIDDM patients. *Euro J Clin Nutr* 49:5303-5306.
- Liu S, Manson JE, Lee IM, Cole SR, Hennekens CH, Willett WC & Buring JE (2000). Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of cardiovascular disease: the women's health study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 79:922-928.
- Ludwig DS (2002). The glycemic index: Physiological mechanism relating to obesity, diabetes and cardiovascular disease. *JAMA* 287:2414-2423.
- Lunetta M, Di Mauro M, Crimi S & Mughini L (1995). No important differences in glycemic responses to common fruits in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabet Med* 12:674-678.

56

- Oettle GJ, Emmett PM & Heaton KW (1987). Glucose and insulin responses to manufactured and whole-food snacks. *Am J Clin Nutr* 47:86-91.
- Otto H & Niklas L (1980). Different glycemic responses to carbohydratecontaining foods. Implications for the dietary treatment of diabetes mellitus. *Hyg* (Geneva) 38:3424-3429. (abst in French).
- Riccardi G & Rivellese AA (1991). Effect of dietary fiber and carbohydrate on glucose and lipoprotein metabolism in diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 14:1115-1125.
- Stevens J, Ahn K, Juhaeri, Houstan D, Steffan L & Couper D (2002). Dietary fiber intake and glycemic index and incidence of Diabetes in African-American and White adults. *Diabetes Care* 25:1715-1721.

- Tee ES, Mohd. Ismail Noor, Mohd. Nasir Azudin & Khatijah Idris (eds) (1997). Nutrient Composition of Malaysian Foods. 4th Edition. Malaysian Food Composition Database Programme, Institute for Medical Research, Kuala Lumpur; 310 p.
- Uusitupa MIJ (1994). Fructose in the diabetic diet. *Am J Clin Nutr* 59:753-757.
- Wolever TMS & Brand-Miller J (1995). Sugars & blood glucose control. *Am J Clin Nutr* 62:212-227.
- Wolever TMS, Vuksan V, Relle L, Jenkins A, Josse R, Wong G & Jenkins D (1993). Glycemic index of fruits and fruit products in patients with diabetes. *Int J Food Sc Nutr* 43:205-212 (Abstr).